



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/089,996

04/05/2002

Tatsuji Nagaoka

9683/112

5819

79510

7590

09/12/2008

NTT Mobile Communications Network I/BHGL

P.O. Box 10395

Chicago, IL 60610

EXAMINER

LE, KHANH H

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3688

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

09/12/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/089,996	<b>Applicant(s)</b> NAGAOKA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> KHANH H. LE	<b>Art Unit</b> 3688	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) KHANH H. LE. (3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) Tadashi Horie, for applicant. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: September 9, 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 18.

Identification of prior art discussed: Holman US5287181.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Applicant Representative explained the invention. The Applicant Representative discussed Holman and the 103 section rejection using Holman and how Holman differs from the claimed invention. No agreement was reached. Mr. Horie stated that he would file an amendment at the latest on September 15. The Applicant Representative is advised that further search/ consideration would be required for any newly added features.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Khanh H. Le/ Examiner, Art Unit 3688	
--	--